

CIRO HERNANDEZ, ESQ SB#174791
551 Third Avenue
Chula Vista, CA 91910
Telephone: (619) 266-0389
Fax: (619) 501-2493

Attorney for Material Witnesses

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	Criminal Case No.08cr0545LAB
Plaintiff)	
v.)	
MARICELA GONZALEZ-TREJO (1))	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND
MIGUEL ROMERO-RIOS (2))	AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF,
)	MOTION FOR VIDEOTAPE
)	DEPOSITIONS AND RELEASE
)	OF MATERIAL WITNESSES
Defendants)	Hearing Date: April 15, 2008
)	Time: 1:30 p.m.
)	Court: A.
)	Judge: Hon. Anthony J. Battaglia

Material Witnesses, Luis Alberto Ochoa-Castillo, and G.C.-G. (minor) respectfully submit the following Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of their Motion for Videotape Depositions and Release of Material Witnesses.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Luis Alberto Ochoa-Castillo and G.C.- G (minor) were taken into custody on February 13, 2008. They were passengers in a vehicle driven by the defendant, which contained four undocumented aliens. On February 27, 2008, the defendants each were indicted on three counts of violation of Title 8, United States Code, Section 1324 (a) (2) (B) (ii), and three counts of

1 violation of Title 8, United States Code, Section 1324 (a) (1) (A) (ii).

2 As of the date of this motion, the material witnesses have no prospects of obtaining a
3 surety. Two potential sureties were contacted on behalf of material witness LUIS ALBERTO
4 OCHOA-CASTILLO. The potential sureties failed to follow through with the bond application.

5 He has no other friends or relatives who could qualify as a surety on his behalf. In
6 Mexico, Mr. Ramos-Salazar supports a wife as a salesman. The material witness was coming to
7 the United States to find work, in order to provide that support. Requiring the material witness to
8 remain in custody during the pendency of the case constitutes a severe economic and emotional
9 hardship for him, and even more severely, for his family who is receiving less support during the
10 time he is incarcerated.

11 Relatives of the material witness G.C.G (minor), were contacted but they did not meet the
12 requirements for a surety, The material witness has no other relatives or friends who could
13 qualify as a surety on his behalf. In Mexico, the material witness worked and supported himself.
14 He was coming to the United States to find work. Requiring the material witness to remain in
15 custody during the pendency of the case constitutes a severe economic and emotional hardship
16 for him.

17 POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

18 DEPOSITION IS APPROPRIATE IN THESE CIRCUMSTANCES

19 In Torres-Ruiz v. United States, 120 F.3d. 933, (9th cir. 1997), the Ninth Circuit mandated
20 the use of videotape depositions when the material witness testimony can be adequately secured
21 by deposition and further detention is not necessary to prevent the failure of justice. (emphasis
22 added; see 18 U.S.C. § 3144) In Torres-Ruiz, the witnesses were the sole support of their
23 families in Mexico, and their continued incarceration constituted a hardship on their families in
24 Mexico. The Torres-Ruiz Court clarified that denial of a motion to videotape the witness'
25 testimony is limited to situations in which a "failure of justice" would occur because the
26 deposition would not serve as an adequate substitute for the witness' live testimony.

1 As of the date of this hearing, the MATERIAL WITNESSES have been in custody over
2 two months, and continued incarceration constitutes an economic hardship for them and their
3 family. It is not necessary to continue to detain them because no failure to justice would occur by
4 videotaping their testimony. Their testimony can be adequately secured by granting this Motion,
5 and there has been no showing that the deposition testimony would be different from the live
6 testimony, and they are subject to the subpoena power of this Court. Therefore, it is requested
7 the Court grant this Motion and order the videotape deposition of the MATERIAL WITNESSES
8 forthwith, to occur within 10 days of granting this Motion. The Material WITNESSES also
9 request the Court order their immediate release upon conclusion of this deposition.

10
11 DATED: March 25, 2008

/S/ Ciro Hernandez

CIRO HERNANDEZ

Attorney for Material Witnesses